

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,008	(	01/04/2002	Masanobu Matsuo	49964.00002	8815
7	590	04/06/2006		EXAM	INER
David B. Abe	l, Esq.			DANIELS MEND	EZ, PHYLLIS A
Squire, Sander	s & Den	npsey L.L.P.			
14th Floor				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
801 S. Figuero	a Street			3629	
Los Angeles,	CA 900	)17-5554		DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		App	olication No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary			040,008	MATSUO, MASA	NOBU
			miner	Art Unit	
		Phy	llis A. Daniels-Mendez	3629	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears	on the cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Nations of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this compared to reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE ( s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I munication. tatutory period will apply will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNICATION TO SHEET THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNIC	ATION.  ly be timely filed  4S from the mailing date of this (NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>04 Januar</i>	y 2002.		
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This actio	n is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition	for allowance e	xcept for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to th	e merits is
	closed in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex pai</i>	te Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the	application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	ire withdrawn fro	om consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.				
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or elec	tion requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.			
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 January 2</u>	<u>2002</u> is/are: a)⊠	☑ accepted or b)☐ obj	ected to by the Examir	ner.
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawi	ng(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	=		•	, ,
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examin	er. Note the attached (	Office Action or form P	TO-152.
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	for foreign prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents hav	e been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents hav	e been received in App	olication No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies	•		eceived in this Nationa	l Stage
	application from the Internation	•			
* 8	see the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the	e certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attachme-	Me)				
Attachmen  1) Notice	c(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) T Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F		Paper No(s)/	Mail Date	
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PT	O-152)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Located on page 2, Line 16 it currently reads "about the subject relating t:". Should be changed to "about the subject relating to:".

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action: A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
  - (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 1,3, 4-10 and 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Gainey et al.(US 2002/0099681).

Gainey discloses the following:

Claims 1a/10a/20a: Keep thread ownership--Specifies if the same user that responds to a particular incoming email message should receive all subsequent responses [0066, Line 1-4].

Art Unit: 3629

Claims 1b/10b/20b: Placing an identifier in the subject or body field of the outgoing response email enables the mail receiver to identify any reply to the outgoing response email [0066, Lines 4-7].

Claims 1c/10c/20c: During the mail receiver rule set processing, the incoming email receiver 120 may assign one or more categories to an email message [0053, Lines 1-3].

Claims 1d/10d/20d: In one embodiment, the actions required to stop a message timer for a message within a user mailbox can include generating a response to the message, marking the message as requiring no response, releasing the message back to the mail queue from which it came, or routing the message to a different mail queue [0095, Lines 4-9].

Claims 3/12: The return address on the email message forwarded to the outside person is an enterprise email system address so that a response created by the non-enterprise email responder will be received and tracked by the enterprise email system [Table on Page 5, Forward and Track, Lines 11-14]. This proves that the ability of a third party to transmit a request via the network utilizing a wireless device is old and well known in the wireless and technology arts.

Claims 4/13: Referring to FIG. 1, the enterprise mail system receives incoming email from the Internet 101 into an incoming email server 110 [0021, Lines 4-5].

Claims 5/14: Each standard phrase consists of a string that may include fields from the email database 130 such as <recipient> that designates the recipient's name [0112, Lines 4-7].

Art Unit: 3629

Claims 6/15/20: Each mail queue has a different mail queue timeout <u>value</u> that specifies the maximum amount of time that message may sit idle within a mail queue [0005, Lines 4-7]. The term "value" located in the previous sentence is used as the identifier.

Claims 7/16: The enterprise mail system users are listed in the mail user database 137.

The following list defines a set of parameters that define an enterprise mail system user account [Table located on Page 8]:

User Account Parameter	Parameter use		
Name	The user's full name.		
Password	The password used to access the user account.		
Description	The file of the user such as "Customer Service Representative" or Sales Person"		
Admin Punction level	A standard operator level allows the user to perform functions to respond to messages. An administrative level allows the user to configure parameters that control the enterprise email system.		
Vecetion Mode	Specifies if the user is on vacation, and if so which queue or mailbox should be used to handle this users email if the user is on vacation.		
Queues	Defines a set of cueves that the user is assigned to.		

Claims 8/17: FIG. 2B illustrates a screen display of a graphical user interface for entering a category into the category database 135 [0067, Lines 3-5].

Claims 9/18: The enterprise email user uses the listed actions for processing messages [0105, Lines 2-4].

Art Unit: 3629

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 5

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 2 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gainey et al. in view of Simson Garfinkel, The Undefeated Airwaves, Technology Review v104n7, Sept 2001, pages 22-23.

Gainey fails to disclose the method of a third party transmitting a request via the network utilizing a wireless device. Garfinkel teaches the following:

...and that scarce resources are better spent on other goals. This spells real danger as wireless devices become a greater part of our economy. All of the large-scale wireless paging and data networks deployed in the 1980s and '90s repeated the cell phone industry's mistake and eschewed encryption. Today these networks are the basis for popular wireless products like pagers and the Palm VII personal digital assistant. Messages sent using these systems can be-and are-intercepted with ease. What's worse, it can be nearly impossible for a **consumer** to make an informed decision about a product's security. Consider the Palm: all PalmOS. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included the use of a wireless device being used by a third party, as disclosed by Garfinkel.

Page 6

#### Conclusion

- 7. Additional Literature has been referenced on the attached PTO-892 form, and the Examiner suggests the applicant review these documents before submitting any amendments.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phyllis Daniels Mendez whose telephone number is (571) 272-7657. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8am - 5:00pm.
- 9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3629

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned (571) 273-8300 for all official communications.

10. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Office of Initial Patent Examination whose telephone number is (703) 308-1202.

pdm

March 24, 2006

JOHN G. WEISS

\*\* PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

pri el

O' ORY CENTER 3600

Page 7